

Acknowledgement and Correction

In the October 2007 *Forum*, Scott Sumner and Jeremy Lateiner published an article, "Keeping It Physical (Enforcing the Discovery Act Limitations on Scope of Discovery in Defense Physical Examinations: Oral Examination or History Taking Is Not a Proper Component of CCP section 2032.220 Examinations)." Their article had much in common with articles previously published by Howard Kapp in both the *Forum* and in other publications. (See, e.g., "Important New Limits on Defense Medical Exams," *Advocate*, March 1988, p. 5; and "Prohibiting Medical Histories During Defense Medical Exams and Other Fancy Stuff," *Forum*, March 2002, p. 27.) Scott, Jeremy, and the *Forum* want to acknowledge Howard's long-term efforts in this area and his contribution to the scholarship on this issue, and commend the earlier articles to all readers. Howard's pioneering work in this area needs to be taken up by all members of the plaintiffs' bar, and the bench in this state be made to acknowledge and recognize that physical examinations of an adverse party are a discovery tool with strict procedural limitations designed for the protection of all litigants. ■



26% off shipping

CAOC membership entitles you to savings up to 26% on shipping costs. Whether it's overnight letters across the country or international shipping around the world, you save right from the start. No minimums. Plus, all with the reliability of the company that delivers more packages on time than anyone.

CAOC makes it even easier to save.

Join CAOC today to become part of this program and start saving up to 26% on shipping. It only takes about two minutes, so sign up today.

For complete details or to sign up, visit savewithups.com/caoc or call 800.325.7000.



Consumer Attorneys Of California